

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RICHARD DENSON,

Petitioner,

vs.

DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,

Respondents.

2:15-cv-01473-APG-PAL

ORDER

_____ /

In this habeas corpus action, brought *pro se* by Nevada prisoner Richard Denson, Denson filed an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus on May 25, 2017 (ECF No. 29). The Court will now set a schedule for respondents to respond to the amended habeas petition, and for further proceedings in this action.

On May 25, 2017, Denson filed a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 30). The court will deny Denson’s motion for appointment of counsel. “Indigent state prisoners applying for habeas corpus relief are not entitled to appointed counsel unless the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations.” *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing *Kreiling v. Field*, 431 F.2d 638, 640 (9th Cir. 1970) (per curiam). The Court may, however, appoint counsel at any stage of the proceedings “if the interests of justice so require.” *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; *see also* Rule 8(c), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196. The Court has reviewed Denson’s amended habeas petition,

1 and the other documents he has filed in this case, and determines that appointment of counsel is not
2 warranted.

3 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel
4 (ECF No. 30) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have 60 days from the date of entry
6 of this order to answer or otherwise respond to the amended petition for writ of habeas corpus
7 (ECF No. 29).

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if respondents file an answer, petitioner shall have 60
9 days to file a reply. If respondents file a motion to dismiss, petitioner shall have 60 days to file a
10 response to the motion to dismiss, and respondents shall, thereafter, have 30 days to file a reply in
11 support of the motion.

12 Dated: May 31, 2017.

13
14
15 
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26